UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,667	01/13/2006	Ernst Kraenzler	3476	3314
Striker, Stricker	7590 05/17/200 r & Stenby	EXAMINER		
103 East Neck Road			GRANT, ALVIN J	
Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/564,667	KRAENZLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alvin J. Grant	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communica	ition appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply		MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wil Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO I, by statute, cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 January 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		•			
Attachment(s)	∧ □	v. Currence (PTO 412)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	3) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/:</u> <u>i/06</u> .	o, C. Other.				

Application/Control Number: 10/564,667

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent WO 03/097299.

European Patent WO 03/097299 discloses the claimed invention except for the dimensions of the elements. However the dimensions may be obtained through experimentation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to tool of European Patent WO 03/097299 to have the claimed dimessions since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

3. Claims 1, 2, 5-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent WO 03/011527.

European Patent WO 03/011527 discloses the claimed invention except for the dimensions of the elements. However the dimensions may be obtained through experimentation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to tool of European Patent WO03/011527 to have the

Application/Control Number: 10/564,667

Art Unit: 3723

claimed dimessions since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 2 of copending application 10/578,201. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is clear that all elements of claim 1 of the instant invention 10/564,667 are found in claim 11 of copending application 10/578,201. The differences between claim 1 of 10/564,667 and claim 11 of copending application 10/578,201 lies in the fact that

Application/Control Number: 10/564,667

Art Unit: 3723

copending application 10/578,201 claims include many more features and is thus much more specific (for example, claim 1 requires "that has a hub with at least a fastening

more specific (for example, claim 1 requires "that has a hub with at least a fastening means"). Thus claim 1 of 10/311,046 is in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claim 11 of copending application 10/578,201. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". See In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since claim 1, of 10/564,667, is anticipated by claim 11, of copending application 10/578,201 it is not patentably distinct from claim 11. The diamensions of the elements as discloses in the claims of 10/564,667 are not claimed in copending application 10/578,201. However the dimensions may be obtained through experimentation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to tool of application with the claimed dimessions of

10/564,667 since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205

USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Grant whose telephone number is (571) 272-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/564,667

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alvin J Grant Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3723

ajg